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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

(1997-2008)
This report reviewed domestic violence homicide cases from Duval County, Florida specifically for the year 2008 and, in addition, for the entire period 1997-2008 during which the Domestic Violence Mortality Review Team (DVMRT) has been in operation. A variety of patterns emerge from this data, both for 2008 alone and for the eleven year time span. Based on these patterns, the DVMRT made a number of observations and sets forth herein some recommendations based on those observations.

Observation #1

The DVMRT distinguishes between intimate and non-intimate homicides (see Introduction and Methodology section). In 2008, the vast majority (70%) of the domestic homicide cases involved intimate partners. This was consistent with the pattern over the past decade, in which the majority (78%) of domestic violence homicides in Jacksonville also involved intimate partners. It seems clear that Jacksonville still has much work to do to prevent domestic violence from turning lethal, especially between intimate partners.

Recommendation: The criminal justice system and the community at large needs to realize that violence between intimate partners can turn lethal at any time, and therefore needs to be treated with that potential in mind from the onset.

Observation #2

In the majority of the 2008 homicides there was no police involvement, nor any shelter or social service involvement, so there was no opportunity for the system to intervene to help prevent the homicide. This is the same pattern noted in previous reports. In past years there have been several cases every year in which family or friends were aware of existing family violence, but no one alerted the authorities. In 70% of the 2008 cases there was an indication that family, friends or coworkers were aware of either past domestic violence, threats made against the victim, or fear by the victim for his/her safety. Yet in 40% of the 2008 cases there were no prior domestic violence police reports, so there was no opportunity for the system to intervene. (This had been true of in every single case in 2007.) The DVMRT concluded once again that it is vital that family, friends and co-workers recognize the importance of reporting suspected violence or threats of violence to the appropriate authorities so there is an opportunity to intervene.

Recommendation: For the system to prevent domestic homicides, the system has to have an opportunity to intervene. The DVMRT believes increased public awareness regarding the importance of reporting domestic violence or threats of violence is vital to preventing future homicides. Unless and until every person (e.g., family members, friends, co-workers, neighbors, clergy) who is aware of family violence or threats of violence reports that violence to the police or other intervention services, we will continue to see domestic homicides in our community.

Observation #3

In the 11 previous years covered in this report, the race/ethnicity of the victims closely mirrored that of the community at large, in that 55% of the victims were white, 40% of the victims were black, 3% were Asian, and 2% were Hispanic. This was not the case in 2008, where 36% of the victims were white, and 64% of the victims were black. In 2008, therefore, blacks were the majority of both suspects and victims. This may be a one-time variation. Over the entire 11 year period, race does not appear to be a determining factor.
There continues to be a considerable gender disparity in who commits domestic violence homicides in Jacksonville. In 71% of the 2008 intimate homicide cases, males killed female partners. In the three (3) cases involving non-intimate partners, men killed other family members. Twenty percent of the 2008 cases (2) involved homicide/suicide and in both of those cases the suspect was male. For the total 11 year period covered in this report, males killed their female partners in 75% of intimate cases. In 89% of non-intimate cases, males killed other family members. In 86% of the overall homicide/suicide cases, the suspect was male. Clearly, while domestic violence can be perpetrated by any member of a family and is always wrong, lethal violence in Duval County is predominantly committed by males.

**Recommendation:** Though race does not appear to be a factor, domestic violence is still highly gendered, meaning that male offenders disproportionately victimize females in a wide variety of ways, including fatally. The DVMRT recognizes the need for increased public awareness efforts to alert the community to the gender disparity in lethal domestic violence, so that women may be alerted to take self-protective actions sooner.

**Observation #4**
In 40% of the cases in 2008, the suspect had a history of substance abuse arrests. The percentage of suspects with substance abuse arrests during the total time of the study between 1997 and 2008 was 33%. It should be noted that in 2008, about one fifth (18%) of homicide victims also had prior arrests for substance abuse. The DVMRT team feels it is important to screen for the possibility of domestic violence when substance abuse arrests are made, since violent individuals who abuse substances have two issues that must be treated—both the substance abuse and the domestic violence.

**Recommendation:** Law enforcement, prosecutors and the judiciary should be aware of, and screen for, the potential for domestic violence when individuals are arrested for substance abuse. Officials should also note the substance abuse status of those individuals who are arrested for non-lethal domestic violence incidents.

**Observation #5**
In 71% of the intimate violence cases in 2008, the couple had already separated by the time of the homicide. During the total 11 years covered in this report, 34% of the couples were no longer living together at the time of the homicide. Scientific research has shown that threats of separation are often precursors to lethal violence. This is clearly the case locally. It is important for victims and for the system to know that the danger does not go away just because the couple is no longer living together. Swift and consistent intervention for the abuser is needed to stop domestic violence.

**Recommendation:** The DVMRT recognizes the need for increased public and criminal justice system awareness of the fact that separation, or efforts to leave a violent household, may not alleviate the problems of domestic violence. It may, in fact, sometimes increase the lethality of domestic violence. The criminal justice system should not assume that there is no longer a need for intervention if the couple has separated.
Observation #6
In 2008 there were five (5) cases in which men had criminal histories which included domestic violence arrests, as well as arrests for violence against women they were dating. In three (3) more cases, the suspect had a history of violence against other women. In one case in which the male suspect had multiple prior domestic violence arrests, this suspect eventually killed his current female partner. In two (2) cases, females with no prior domestic violence police reports ended up killing male partners with long histories of violence against them and/or other women. It is important that any history of violence against women be considered when dealing with current domestic violence cases.

**Recommendation:** The DVMRT recommends that all agencies dealing with domestic violence perpetrators and victims become sensitive regarding prior violence, whether that prior violence occurred to the current victim or to others. This prior violence should be considered whenever possible in the prosecuting and sentencing of offenders. This may prevent not only the future tragedy of victims dying, but also the tragedy of some victims resorting to homicide themselves.

Observation #7
In 40% of the cases in 2008, as was also true in 2007, there had been previous concerns about the safety of children in families that led to referrals to the Department of Children and Families (DCF). This is nearly three times the average of the nine previous years (15%). Too often these cases were closed despite indicators of family violence.

**Recommendation:** It is important to continue the practice of screening for domestic violence whenever there is a DCF referral. Whenever indicators of family violence are present, the family should receive appropriate services.

Observation #8
Two (20%) of the victims in 2008 had injunctions for protection in place, which is higher than in previous years. Over the length of the study, 9% of all the victims had an injunction for protection in place at the time of the homicide and 7% had received services from the local shelter for battered women. While an injunction for protection is no guarantee of safety, awareness of these options for enhancing victim protection needs to be increased. Considering the large percentage of domestic homicide victims whose friends and family members knew about existing violence in the relationship but did not report it, use of available services for abuse victims needs to be encouraged.

**Recommendation:** The DVMRT recognizes the need for increased public awareness that victims may obtain injunctions for protection as one means of self-protection against domestic violence. Both victims and the criminal justice system need to take any violations of injunctions very seriously.
Observation #9
In the 11 years covered in this report, the percentage of suspects with police involvement who are ordered to a batterers-intervention program (BIP) remains low (34%). Furthermore, among those 12 suspects ordered to BIP programs, only one suspect actually completed the program. This is an abysmal completion rate. It is important to note that the success rate for individuals completing batterers-intervention programs is high: 88% were not rearrested during a follow-up three-year tracking period. The DVMRT strongly believes that referral to a batterers-intervention program is an underutilized tool for preventing future homicides. Unfortunately, the number of abusers ordered to batterers-intervention has been slowly declining over the past decade. In the three months prior to the release of this report, the number in batterers-intervention was nearly 30% lower than a year ago. A certified batterers-intervention program is an effective but underutilized means to reduce gender violence.

**Recommendation:** The criminal justice system should make full use of batterers-intervention services, not only when stipulated by statute. Furthermore, when batterers-intervention is ordered by the courts for domestic violence offenders, there should be much more strict enforcement and accountability for noncompliance.

Observation #10
There are many uses for this report, the most important of which is how the criminal justice system responds to domestic violence incidents. A copy of this report is provided to all judges, the local sheriff, the local state attorney's office, victim's advocates, batterers-intervention programs, the military, and is placed on the web for public access (www.baylor.edu/ndvfr). These annual mortality reports were instrumental in securing federal dollars for local initiatives. For example, the DVMRT team noted that Jacksonville has been fortunate to have InVEST (Intimate Violence Enhanced Services Team), a local initiative geared toward reducing intimate partner homicides in Jacksonville through integrating services from a variety of criminal justice and social service agencies. Over the three years prior to the start of the InVEST initiative, there had been a steady increase in the number of intimate violence homicides. Since the initiation of the InVEST program in 1999, there has been a dramatic decline in intimate violence homicides among cases known to law enforcement, where InVEST had an opportunity to intervene. These results were so dramatic that the Florida Attorney General funded pilot InVEST initiatives in four other Florida cities. The DVMRT believes that the proactive work InVEST does in trying to identify and potentially intervene in intimate violence cases has had a positive impact in reducing intimate homicide cases in Duval County. These reports also facilitated the receipt of a recent federal "Arrest Grant" that will continue effective local collaborations and will be used to implement a special domestic violence court docket in Duval County.
INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

The Duval County Domestic Violence Mortality Review Team (DVMRT) was created in 1997 by the Duval County Domestic Violence Intervention Project Committee (DVIP). The Team exists for the purposes of annually collecting, reviewing and analyzing all domestic homicide cases within Duval County, Florida, and issuing this report. The Team is composed of representatives of several governmental agencies which deal directly with domestic homicide cases within the jurisdiction of Duval County plus other local experts in this field. The Team is usually chaired or co-chaired by a representative of the State Attorneys Office, Fourth Judicial Circuit, State of Florida, which is responsible for the prosecution of all domestic violence and domestic homicide offenders in this jurisdiction.

Cases selected for review by this Committee are those in which the key parties of the case (e.g., the primary offender and the primary victim) meet the definition of having a domestic relationship as set forth in Section 741.28, Florida Statutes. This defines domestic relationships as:

Spouse, former spouses, persons related by blood or marriage, persons who are presently residing together, as if a family, or who have resided together in the past, as if a family, and persons who have a child in common regardless of whether they have been married or have resided together at any time.

The Team has wrestled from time to time with cases in which a dispute between domestic partners has resulted in the death of a third party (but not a person who fits the Florida Statute definition) and has elected not to include these cases in this review. While the Team realizes the difficulty in omitting such cases from review, they do not meet the legal definition of a domestic case under Florida law as cited above. This year the team included a case that did not fit the domestic violence statute as written since the couple had not lived together nor had a child in common. However, the relationship had been of sufficient duration and the patterns were so similar that the team felt the case should be included to get a true picture of homicides among intimate couples.

The Team also excludes domestic violence child deaths, unless the child was killed as part of an attack on an adult that fits the Florida Statute definition, as there is a separate child death committee that reviews those deaths.

In terms of procedure, the Team meets approximately monthly, normally beginning in January of each year, to review each case of domestic homicide from the previous year. Case files are divided amongst Team members for intensive review in order to develop the elements of each case as presented herein. The documentary materials reviewed in each case include any the following:

1. Police reports involving the victim and suspect.
2. Department of Children and Families referrals involving victim and/or suspect.
3. Shelter services, hotline contact, court advocacy or other domestic violence services utilized by victim or suspect.
4. Civil proceedings including Marchman and Baker Acts, Dissolutions of Marriage, and Injunctions for Protection involving victim and/or suspect.
5. Criminal records of victim and suspect.
6. State Attorney files involving victim and/or suspect.
7. Batterers’ Intervention Program (BIP) participation including performance, completion, violations and victim contact.
8. Helping At Risk Kids Program (HARK) attendance by children of the victim and/or suspect.
9. Animal abuse or neglect complaints.
10. Other known services provided to the victim and/or suspect.
11. Autopsy reports or other Medical Examiner’s information.

The information which is sought about each case from these materials includes critical elements and sub-elements which are viewed as providing as complete a picture as possible about each of these tragic incidents. These elements and sub-elements are:

I. CRIME
   M Relationship of parties
   M Case summary
   M Children of parties

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS
    M Domestic violence
    M Non-domestic violent crimes
    M Substance abuse arrests

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS
     M Domestic Violence Injunctions
     M Dissolutions of Marriage
     M Department of Children and Families Referrals
     M Baker and Marchman Acts Commitments

IV. SERVICES
    M Shelter services/hotline calls
    M Helping at Risk Kids Program (HARK) attendance
    M Batterers' Intervention Program (BIP) attendance
    M Substance abuse program

V. OTHER CONCERNS
    M Includes anything else pertinent to the cause of this incident that is not covered in the categories listed above.

The case profiles developed by individual Team members using these elements are shared with the whole Team for a collective review of the case. Questions may lead to further research on the case.
The key elements permit the Team to try to understand the dynamics of what happened and to ask in each case whether there was anything that reasonably could have been done to prevent those events from unfolding. That is, were there warning signs which were ignored? Were there opportunities for intervention which were missed? Were there services which could have been provided to either the victim or the offender which was not provided—or not adequately provided? The Team recognizes that, ultimately, the offenders are responsible for their actions. However, the Team also recognizes that the dynamics underlying domestic violence are complex and that other parties often know about potential danger within domestic relationships, even if they do not report this to outside authorities who might be able to intervene helpfully. Helping victims find assistance, and offenders find intervention, before domestic violence becomes lethal is the goal of the DVMRT.

It is important to note that—unlike many other mortality review teams—this Committee reviews and reports on all domestic homicide cases which occur within a given year of occurrence, regardless of the legal status of suspects at the time of the issuance of this report. Thus, this report accounts for all cases classified as domestic homicides in Duval County in 2008. For this reason, this report identifies cases by a number (e.g., 2008-1, 2008-2, etc.), an incident date, zip code, and police zone location only. No names of offenders or victims are used. This is done in order to prevent jeopardy against pending or active cases. However, it also provides a much more complete picture of domestic homicide in Duval County than is provided in those reports which include only closed cases. It also allows for more timely recommendations.

In addition to profiles of each case, this report also includes summary patterns for 2008 by gender, race, relationship, method of death, children present, criminal history of key actors, prior injunctions and other civil matters, prior child abuse referrals, shelter services extended to victims, services extended to children, interventions provided to abusers, prior alcohol/drug abuse by victims and suspects, mental health issues of suspects, and zip codes and law enforcement zones of the homicidal incident (including a map). Summary patterns for 1997-2008 are also provided, along with individual summary sheets for each year 1997 through 2008. The Team uses these summaries to assess the long term patterns, as well as recurring problems and potential progress, in this area. It is from these long term and recurring patterns, as well as any unique event of the year, that the Team develops its annual recommendations, which are set forth in the Executive Summary.
2008-1

Date of Homicide: 02/13/2008

Victim: White Female, 77
Suspect: White Male, 60

I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Husband/Wife- (cohabiting, married 35 years)

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 02/13/08, police responded to the home of the victim and suspect. Suspect was encountered outside the home with a number of self-inflicted stab wounds to his chest. Suspect accused the victim of poisoning him and then he said he snapped. Suspect also said that the victim was mad at him because someone hid her blood pressure medicine and victim thought suspect was having an affair with another woman. The victim sustained multiple stab wounds to the chest and abdomen. The suspect confessed to stabbing victim. The suspect was ruled incompetent to proceed. On 1/23/09, the suspect was found competent to stand trial. Case still pending.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: There were no children present at the time of the incident.

D. LOCATION: 32209 (Zone 5)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

1. Victim B No record
2. Suspect B No record

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES

1. Victim B No record
2. Suspect B No record

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS

1. Victim B No record
2. Suspect B a) 07/10/71 - Public Drunkenness - Not prosecuted.
b) 10/13/74- Driving Under the Influence (DUI)- Convicted- 6 months probation, DUI school.

c) 07/13/75- DUI- Convicted- 30 days Duval County Jail (DCJ).

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Victim B No record

B. Suspect B No record

IV. SERVICES

A. Victim B None known

B. Suspect B None known

V. OTHER CONCERNS

According to the daughter of victim, the victim had told her the day of the murder that she was talking to an attorney about divorcing her husband. The daughter felt that the suspect had a drug problem. She also said there was a history of domestic violence between the victim and suspect. The suspect was taking oxycontin and spending money all the time, became mean and controlling. According to a neighbor, the suspect was just taken off oxycontin. The suspect went to the ER thinking he was poisoned.
Date of Homicide: 03/11/08

Victim: Black Female, 31
Suspect: Black Male, 50

I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Boyfriend/Girlfriend (not cohabiting)

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 03/07/08, the victim’s teenage daughter called the police to report that her mom and younger sister were missing. On 3/11/08, a police officer investigated the address of her on/off again boyfriend. There he found the decomposed body of the victim. The young child was not injured and the suspect was at the residence. It was found that the victim died of two gunshot wounds to her torso. The three year old daughter of the victim said that the suspect shot the victim. Case still pending.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: One child, not in common.

D. LOCATION: 32218 (Zone 6)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

1. Victim B No record

2. Suspect B
   a) 09/27/03- Arrested for Domestic Violence on his pregnant girlfriend (not the victim)- charges were Nolle Prossed due to the suspect pleading guilty in another case and being sentenced to 18 months Florida State Prison (FSP).

   b) 11/04/01- Arrest of former wife (not victim) for Domestic Battery against suspect- she was sentenced to 5 days DCJ, counseling, no violent victim contact, one year probation.

   c) 10/15/01- Report made for Domestic Violence on former wife (not victim)- no arrest warranted after consultation with State Attorney’s Office (SAO).

   d) 10/13/01- Report made for Domestic Battery on former wife (not victim)- Victim was given a SAO card, but never followed
e) 09/15/01 - Report made for making threats, suspect listed as the victim, former wife (not deceased victim) listed as suspect. Victim was given a SAO card, but never followed through.

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES

1. Victim B  No record
2. Suspect B  No record

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARREST

1. Victim B  No record
2. Suspect B  No record

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Victim B  07/03/07- Petitioner in injunction case against former boyfriend (not the suspect). It was denied.

B. Suspect B  09/11/01- Respondent in injunction case by wife (not victim). Order denying petition.

IV. SERVICES

A. Victim B  None known
B. Suspect B  None known

V. OTHER CONCERNS

According to family and friends, they were aware of the violence and had witnessed the suspect trying to strangle the victim. Victim was seeing another man. Since this couple had never cohabited, this case does not strictly fit the Domestic Violence statute. However, since they had a long term intimate relationship, this case was included in this report.
Date of Homicide: 04/19/08

Victim: Black Male, 18
Suspect: Black Male, 44

I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Victim is the son of Suspect's former girlfriend (not cohabiting)

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 04/19/08, the victim was found deceased with multiple gun shot wounds on the stairs outside of a residential complex. The suspect claimed that the victim had been harassing him. While the suspect was at the crime scene, the suspect spontaneously stated that he shot the victim. The victim had a protective injunction against the suspect because of a previous incident where the suspect had stabbed the victim.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: There were no children present at the time of the incident.

D. LOCATION: 32216 (Zone 3)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

1. Victim B No record

2. Suspect B a) 02/08/08 - Report of violation of injunction against victim. Victim was given a SAO card, but never followed through.

   b) 01/10/08 - Report of criminal mischief against victim's mother. Victim was given a SAO card, but never followed through.

   c) 11/25/07 - Report of aggravated battery with a deadly weapon against victim. On 01/22/08, warrant issued for suspect.

   d) 08/16/06 - Arrests for domestic battery and violation of injunction on former girlfriend - adjudicated guilty - 75 days DCJ.

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES

1. Victim B No record
2. Suspect B  
   a) 10/19/90 - Arrest for aggravated battery with a firearm or destructive device - adjudicated guilty - 3 months DCJ.  
   b) 05/11/87 - Arrest for armed robbery- charges dropped.

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS

1. Victim B  
   No record

2. Suspect B  
   a) 05/16/84 - Arrest for possession of marijuana (more than 20 grams)- adjudicated guilty- time served.  
   b) 11/29/84 - Arrest for sale, purchase, or delivery of cocaine, possession of marijuana (less than 20 grams), possession with intent to sell cannabis, and possession of other unlisted 893 drug- adjudicated guilty- 1 year and 1 day.

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Victim B  
   a) 01/14/08 - Victim had an injunction issued against the suspect due to a prior act of violence.

B. Suspect B  
   a) 04/08/08- Department of Children and Family Report made against the suspect for Family Violence Threatens Child- case closed with some indicators.  
   b) 01/14/08- Suspect is the respondent of an injunction against the victim due to a prior act of violence.  
   c) 10/23/06 - Suspect-s prior girlfriend had an injunction against the suspect.

SERVICES

A. Victim B  
   None known

B. Suspect B  
   Suspect was ordered to batterers- intervention program, but failed to complete.

V. OTHER CONCERNS

The suspect-s former girlfriend (victim-s mother) stated that the suspect had threatened to kill her in the past. The victim-s mother admitted to domestic violence, but claimed that she failed to tell anyone about it because the suspect threatened to harm her.
The victim's younger sister expressed concerns about her mother's relationship with the suspect and believed that the relationship was abusive. The suspect used to live in the house with the mother, victim, and victim's sister, but left after the victim was granted an injunction against him. The mother left the victim's sister for extended periods of time in order to be with suspect, and the Department of Children and Families got involved but did not remove the child from the home.
2008-4
Date of Homicide/Suicide: 06/20/08

Victim: Black Female, 49
Suspect: Black Male, 68

I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Ex-boyfriend/Ex-girlfriend (not cohabiting). Child was suspect=s and victim=s.

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 06/20/08, the suspect shot and killed the victim in the parking garage outside of the hospital. The suspect also shot and wounded the child. The suspect then fatally shot himself in the head. The suspect and victim were involved in a custody dispute over their child. The suspect was granted temporary custody of the child in 2005. The suspect had recently learned that the victim had been granted permanent custody of the child by the Louisiana court. The suspect was to deliver the child to the victim at a meeting place in Jacksonville. The victim was living in Louisiana and had recently traveled to Florida to take the child back with her. While the victim was still in Florida, the suspect took the child to the hospital claiming he was having seizures, and the child was admitted. The suspect and victim were leaving the hospital with their child after the child was released from the hospital to the victim as per Louisiana court order.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: One child who was also injured was present.

D. LOCATION: 32207 (Zone 3)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
1. Victim B No record
2. Suspect- No record

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES
1. Victim B No record
2. Suspect B No record

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS
1. Victim B No record
2. Suspect B No record
III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Victim B

a) 06/02/08 - The Department of Children and Families report involving the child states that the child’s mother had physically abused the child in September of 2007. The report also states that the mother has been treated for stress, depression, and attempted suicide and that she received disability for mental health issues. The report states that since the mother had arrived in Jacksonville to take custody of the child, she abused and threatened to kill the child. Closed with no indicators.

b) 07/16/07 - The Department of Children and Families report indicates that the mother burned the child with a cigarette, hit the child in the mouth, and left the child inadequately supervised while the child visited her in her home state. Closed with some indicators.

B. Suspect B

No record

IV. SERVICES

A. Victim B

No record

B. Suspect B

No record

V. OTHER CONCERNS

The suspect left 2 lengthy notes, one at the scene and one at his home, that mentioned the custody battle and stated that he had to do something that is wrong and horrible. A brother-in-law reported the suspect showed him a gun and that the suspect had said he wasn’t going to let her take his son back to New Orleans. The suspect had threatened to take himself and the whole family out. Victim was on disability for mental health issues and the child expressed a fear of the mother.
Victim: Black Female, 46
Suspect: Black Male, 50

I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Ex-boyfriend/Ex-girlfriend (not cohabiting)

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 07/18/08, the victim was shot by the suspect as she was arriving at her place of employment. The victim was transported to the hospital and died four days later. The suspect attempted to shoot himself in the head, but was unsuccessful as the gun failed to fire. The suspect fled the scene and contacted his brother on the phone. During the phone call, the suspect admitted to shooting his ex-girlfriend and spoke of his plans to commit suicide. The suspect arrived at his home and police were dispatched to the area in response to the suicide threat. As the police were negotiating with the suspect, the suspect admitted to shooting his ex-girlfriend. The suspect then shot himself in the head.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: There were no children present at the time of the incident.

D. LOCATION: 32206 (Zone 1)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

1. Victim B No record

2. Suspect B a) 07/04/08 - Arrest for Aggravated Assault with a Deadly Weapon against victim - released on bond and case pending at the time of homicide/suicide.

   b) 02/27/99 - Report of making threats against victim. Victim was given a SAO card, but did not follow through.

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES

1. Victim B No record
2. Suspect B  No record

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS

1. Victim B  No record
2. Suspect B  a) 01/05/98 - Arrest for DUI- adjudicated guilty - time served, DUI school - 1 year probation.

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Victim B  No record
B. Suspect B  a) 12/26/97 - The victim filed a petition for an injunction against the suspect.

IV. SERVICES

A. Victim B  No record
B. Suspect B  a) 01/05/98 - DUI school.

V. OTHER CONCERNS

A few weeks prior to the homicide/suicide, the suspect approached the victim’s car in front of witnesses and beat on her window with the gun proclaiming that they needed to talk. On the day of the incident, the suspect approached the victim’s car while she was at work, and stated that he needed to talk to her. The victim shouted “Don’t let him shoot me!” to bystanders and told the suspect “Get away from me; I’ve got a restraining order on you!” The bystanders watched as the suspect pulled out a gun and shot the victim.
I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Ex-Mother-In-Law/Ex-Son-In-Law (not cohabiting)

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 08/10/08, police responded to the home of the victim. The victim's daughter married the suspect, but divorced in 2003. The suspect and his ex-wife continued to date until two weeks prior to the murder. The victim sustained multiple head and facial injuries caused by blunt force trauma. The suspect was apprehended leaving a nearby gas station barefoot. Upon apprehension, the suspect made several statements which indicated mental health issues. The suspect said he was a Christ, among other things, and the victim was the anti-Christ. Case is still pending.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: There were no children present at the time of the incident.

D. LOCATION: 32250 (Jacksonville Beach)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

1. Victim B No record

2. Suspect B a) 03/24/04-Violation of Injunction against ex-wife- Pled No Contest- withheld adjudication- 1 year probation w/ special condition of Anger Control.

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES

1. Victim B No record

2. Suspect B No record

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS

1. Victim B No record

2. Suspect B No record
III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Victim B
   a) No record

B. Suspect B
   a) Suspect divorced the Victim’s daughter in 2003.
   b) Victim’s daughter has injunction against suspect.

IV. SERVICES

A. Victim B
   None known

B. Suspect B
   Anger Control

V. OTHER CONCERNS

According to victim’s mother, the suspect and the victim had a highly volatile relationship as did the suspect and his ex-wife (victim’s oldest daughter). Several domestic disturbances occurred between the ex-wife (victim’s oldest daughter) and the suspect. According to the victim’s other daughter, the relationship between the victim and the suspect was volatile because the victim didn’t care for the way the suspect continually battered his ex-wife. The suspect previously threatened to kill the ex-wife on several occasions and once choked her to the point of unconsciousness. He drafted some documents on his computer and showed them to the victim, who called him the devil, which is why he attacked her. His former roommate also turned in some of the suspect’s writings that wererambling violent text. Upon arrest, the suspect proclaimed himself as being “Jesus Christ the Messiah” and “Joe Brown.” He told police that the victim was the “Anti-Christ.”
2008-7

Date of Homicide: 08/24/08

Victim: Black Male, 27
Suspect: Black Female, 20

I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Boyfriend/Girlfriend, (not cohabiting)

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 08/24/08, victim, suspect and two children were riding in a car. Victim and suspect began arguing. Victim hit suspect in the face and tried to push her out of the car. They exited the car at an apartment complex where the suspect called 911. Witnesses report that the victim either hit, or threatened to, hit the suspect and that is when the suspect stabbed the victim. Then, the victim ran and the suspect followed and stabbed him again. The suspect then called 911 again, two minutes after the first call. The case was ruled justifiable/excusable by SAO.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: 2 children in common were present in the car.

D. LOCATION: 32206 (Zone 1)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

1. Victim B

   a) 11/20/07 - Report of Domestic Battery and Violation of Injunction reported by suspect. Suspect was given a SAO card, but never followed through.

   b) 10/14/07 - Report of Violation of Injunction and Criminal Mischief reported by suspect- after consultation with SAO, arrest warrant not issued.

   c) 06/12/07 - Arrested for Domestic Battery on suspect - charges dropped (due to improbability of conviction).

   d) 04/26/07 - Arrested for False Imprisonment and Domestic Battery on suspect- withhold of adjudication-time served, 1 year probation, BIP.

   e) 01/12/07 - Report of Domestic Battery by suspect-suspect was given a SAO card, but never followed through.

   f) 01/04/06 - Report of Aggravated Battery on Pregnant Female reported by suspect- victim would not give the
police any information regarding the identity of the suspect (victim). Case was suspended until suspect can be identified.

g) 08/13/05- Report of Domestic Battery reported on prior girlfriend (not suspect)- victim was given a SAO card, but never followed through.

2. Suspect B None

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES

1. Victim B None
2. Suspect B None

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS

1. Victim B
   a) 02/22/08- DUI- charges dropped.
   b) 04/14/06- Possession of less than 20 grams cannabis- adjudicated guilty- court costs.
   c) 04/05/06- Possession of less than 20 grams cannabis- adjudicated guilty- 30 days DCJ.
   d) 02/03/04 Possession of less than 20 grams cannabis- adjudicated guilty- 10 days DCJ.
   e) 08/23/03- Possession of less than 20 grams cannabis- adjudicated guilty- time served.
   f) 03/08/01- Possession of Cocaine- charges dropped.

2. Suspect B None

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Victim B
   a) 06/12/07- Report made against suspect and victim for Family Violence Threatens Child (2 children)- closed with indicators. Victim was referred to classes through Hubbard House, but never showed up.

B. Suspect B
   a) 06/12/07- Report made against suspect and victim for Family Violence Threatens Child (2 children)- closed with indicators.
b) 11/20/07- Report made against suspect for Family Violence Threatens Child (2 children)- closed with indicators.

c) 07/17/02- Report made listing suspect as a child victim for Family Violence Threatens Child and Substance Abuse by her father. Case closed with indicators.

IV. SERVICES

A. Victim B None

B. Suspect B None

V. OTHER CONCERNS

The victim had an extensive history of domestic violence against the suspect as well as substance abuse. The victim's father reported that the suspect had threatened to shoot the victim in the past. The suspect stated that she feared for her life when she stabbed the victim. Several witnesses stated that the suspect and victim were fighting prior to the stabbing. One of the children also stated that his father hurt his mother.
Date of Homicide: 09/02/08

Victim: White Male, 63
Suspect: White Female, 44

I. CRIME
   A. RELATIONSHIP: Husband/Wife (cohabiting)
   B. CASE SUMMARY: On 09/02/09, victim and suspect were arguing and suspect shot victim in the chest. The suspect subsequently claimed that the victim was calling her names and that they were in a broken marriage and that is why she shot him.
   C. CHILDREN PRESENT: None
   D. LOCATION: 32073 (Zone 4)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS
   A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
      1. Victim B No history
      2. Suspect B No history
   B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES
      1. Victim B No history
      2. Suspect B No history
   C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS
      1. Victim B No history
      2. Suspect B10/31/87-Arrest for disorderly intoxication- adjudicated guilty court costs.

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS
   A. Victim B No history
   B. Suspect B No history
IV. SERVICES

A. Victim B No history
B. Suspect B Suicide attempt

V. OTHER CONCERNS

The victim's daughter told police that the suspect had a drinking problem, and that the victim had told his daughter that he wanted a divorce the night before the incident. The suspect attempted suicide in 2007 by shooting herself.
2008-9

Date of Homicide: 09/05/08

Victim: White Female, 49
Suspect: White Male, 76

I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Uncle/Niece (not cohabiting)

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 09/05/08, the victim and a female acquaintance were at the home of the victim=s relative. The suspect=s daughter and a male friend were also present during the incident. The daughter stated that the victim had come to get twenty dollars from the suspect, who greeted them and exchanged kisses with the victim. Both victim and suspect began to take shots of alcohol, and for some reason the suspect flew into a violent rage. The suspect pulled the victim and her female friend onto his bed, despite pleas from the daughter for him to stop. The suspect threatened to get his shot gun and attacked the male friend, then threatened to kill everyone and shot the victim in the chest. The acquaintance called 911 and police removed both the victim and the suspect from the scene.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: None

D. LOCATION: 32254 (Zone 5)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

1. Victim B
   a) 02/21/08- Arrested for Aggravated Domestic Assault on boyfriend- case not filed.
   b) 04/13/96- Arrested for Domestic Battery on Female- adjudicated guilty- time served.
   c) 04/25/02- Victim of Domestic Battery with boyfriend.

2. Suspect B
   None

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES

1. Victim B
   None
2. Suspect B
   a) 11/10/06 - Arrested for Aggravated Assault on a Male & Criminal Mischief- adjudicated guilty- time served.
   b) 07/31/94 - Arrested for Aggravated Assault on a Person 65 years or older- charges dropped.
   c) 02/03/92 - Arrested for Aggravated Assault on a Male- charges dropped.

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS

1. Victim B
   a) 04/10/04- Arrested for Disorderly Intoxication- adjudicated guilty- time served.
   b) 09/21/84- Arrested for DUI & Possession of Controlled Substance Paraphernalia- adjudicated guilty- 4 months probation and DUI school.
   c) 05/04/86- Arrested for DUI- adjudicated guilty- time served and DUI school.
   d) 06/22/82- Disorderly Intoxication- adjudicated guilty- time served.
   e) 07/22/80- Arrested for Possession of Marijuana- adjudicated guilty- time served.
   f) 04/03/80- Arrested for Possession of a Controlled Substance- adjudication withheld- 1 year probation.

2. Suspect B None

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Victim B
   a) 02/24/07- Baker Acted
   b) 02/14/03- Baker Acted

B. Suspect B None

IV. SERVICES

A. Victim B None
B. Suspect B None
V. OTHER CONCERNS

The victim had an extensive substance abuse history, but also charges of assault and had been Baker acted on 2 separate occasions. The suspect had prior arrests for aggravated assault on other persons.
Victim: Black Male, 60
Black Female, 26

Suspect: Black Male, 29

I. CRIME

A. RELATIONSHIP: Ex-boyfriend/Ex-girlfriend/Ex-girlfriend-s father (not cohabiting)

B. CASE SUMMARY: On 10/22/08, the suspect armed himself with an assault rifle and waited outside the male victim’s home for both victims to arrive. He shot the male victim first then approached the car and shot the female victim who tried to escape. Suspect and female victim have one child in common. A month prior to the incident, the female victim was either kicked out of the suspect-s house or left due to domestic violence. Female victim then sought an injunction against the suspect. The morning of the murders, the suspect and the female victim were in court regarding the injunction of 10/7/08. The suspect was ordered to pay child support, attend anger management classes, and could only see his child during supervised weekly visits.

C. CHILDREN PRESENT: None (1 child in common)

D. LOCATION: 32219 (Zone 4)

II. CRIMINAL RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

1. Male victim B None
   Female victim- None

2. Suspect B None

B. NON-DOMESTIC VIOLENT CRIMES

   Female victim- None

C. SUBSTANCE ABUSE ARRESTS

1. Male victim - None
   Female victim - None

2. Suspect B None

III. CIVIL RECORDS AND REPORTS

   Female victim- None

B. Suspect B 10/07/08- Temporary Injunction for Protection against Domestic Violence with Minor Child(ren) filed by female victim.

IV. SERVICES

A. Male victim- None
   Female victim - Female victim was offered InVEST and Hubbard House, but refused.

B. Suspect B Anger Management Courses (ordered the day of the murder).

V. OTHER CONCERNS

The suspect blamed the system for forcing him to kill the victims. The suspect’s mother died when he was a teenager and family members say that he never bounced back. The suspect’s other child from another woman was also taken away and living in Japan. Suspect claims that during the hearing he was not given an opportunity to speak, then he claims that the female victim laughed at him after he lost custody, a fit of rage came over him and he knew he had to kill her. While waiting for the victims he had second thoughts, but eventually went ahead with his plan. The suspect was found at his mother’s grave. He planned to shoot a police officer but was shot by the police and arrested.
GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides (70% of cases, 73% of victims)
  ! 5 males killed female partners; one of these males also killed the female's father
  ! 2 females killed male partner

Total = 8 victims

Non-Intimate Homicides (30% of cases, 27% of victims)
  ! 1 male killed his ex-girlfriend's son
  ! 1 male killed his ex-mother-in-law
  ! 1 male killed his niece

Total = 3 victims

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides (20% of cases)
  ! 2 males committed suicide

Total = 2

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

  ! Victims
    o 4 White (30% of cases, 36% of victims)
    o 7 Black (70% of cases, 64% of victims)

Total = 11 victims

  ! Suspects
    o 3 White (30% of cases, 30% of suspects)
    o 7 Black (70% of cases, 70% of suspects)

Total = 10 suspects

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides (70% of cases)
  ! In 2 cases (28% of intimate cases), the parties were married and cohabiting at the time of the homicide.
  ! In 5 cases (72% of intimate cases), the parties were not married and were not cohabiting at the time of the homicide. In four of these cases, the parties were estranged.

Non-Intimate homicides
1 boyfriend killed his ex-girlfriend’s son (10% of cases, 33% of non-intimate cases)
1 ex-husband killed his ex-mother-in-law (10% of cases, 33% of non-intimate cases)
1 uncle killed his niece (10% of cases, 33% of non-intimate cases)

Total = 3

**METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)**

- 8 gunshot wounds
- 2 knife wounds
- 1 blunt force trauma

Total = 11

**CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

In three of the cases (30%), there were a total of 4 children that were present and/or witnessed the homicide. One of these was also injured by the suspect.

**CRIMINAL HISTORY**

**DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

*Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.*

- **Victims** (20% of total cases, 18% of victims)
  - 1 female with 2 domestic violence arrests and one report listing her as the victim by a different boyfriend.
  - 1 male with 3 domestic violence arrests and 5 domestic violence reports

  Total = 2

- **Suspects** (40% of total cases, 40% of suspects)
  - 3 males with 1 domestic violence arrest and at least 1 domestic violence report (one had one, one had two and one had three).
  - 1 male with 1 arrest for Violation of Injunction

  Total = 4

**INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

*Only victims and suspects with injunctions or other civil matters are included below.*

- **Victims** (40% of total cases, 36% of victims)
  - 1 female filed for injunction against former boyfriend - it was denied.
  - 1 female filed for a petition for injunction against her suspect.
o 1 male with injunction against mother's ex-boyfriend.
o 1 female with two Baker Acts (suicidal).

! Suspects (60% of total cases, 60% of suspects)
o 1 male was the respondent to an injunction filed by a former wife- it was denied.
o 1 male was the respondent to an injunction filed by former wife (victim’s daughter).
o 2 males were respondents to injunctions by their ex-girlfriends (victims).
o 1 male was the respondent to an injunction by his former girlfriend’s son (victim).
o 1 female had an injunction against her male ex-boyfriend (victim).

**CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

*Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.*

Child Abuse Referrals (40% of total cases)

! Victims (30% of cases, 27% of victims)
o 1 female with 1 Department of Children and Families report of Substance Misuse.
o 1 female with 2 Department of Children and Families reports of Family Violence Threatens Child.
o 1 female with 3 Department of Children and Families reports of Inadequate Supervision, Abuse and Family Violence Threatens Child.

! Suspects (10% of total cases, 10% of suspects)
o 1 female with 2 Department of Children and Families reports of Family Violence Threatens Child and one Department of Children and Families report listing her as a minor victim with an abusive male in the home.

**SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

! 1 female suspect was ordered by DCF to attend Hubbard House counseling (as a victim), but did not enroll.
! 1 female victim was offered Hubbard House services through InVEST, a collaborative targeting high risk cases, but declined services.

**HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

! There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

**INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**
Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete are included below.

! Suspects (20% of cases, 20% of suspects)
  o 1 male was ordered to batterers' intervention program, but failed to complete.
  o 2 males were ordered to anger control program; one the morning of the homicide.

ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

! Victims (20% of total cases, 18% of victims)
  o 1 female with 8 substance abuse arrests
  o 1 male with 6 substance abuse arrests

! Suspects (40% of total cases, 40% of suspects)
  o 1 male with 3 substance abuse arrests
  o 1 male with 2 substance abuse arrests
  o 1 male with 1 substance abuse arrest
  o 1 female with 1 substance abuse arrest

MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.

! Victim (10% of total cases, 9% of victims)
  o 1 female with documented mental health issues.

! Suspect (10% of total cases, 10% of suspects)
  o 1 female had a prior attempted suicide

! In addition, this year there was one case where the questionable mental health status of one male suspect was noted in police reports.

ZIP CODES

Zip codes where the homicide occurred. (2006-2008 only)

! 32206 - 1 (10% of cases)
! 32207 - 2 (20% of cases)
! 32209 - 2 (20% of cases)
! 32218 - 1 (10% of cases)
! 32219 - 1 (10% of cases)
! 32250 - 1 (10% of cases)
! 32254 - 1 (10% of cases)
! 32073 - 1 (10% of cases)
Total = 10

**LAW ENFORCEMENT ZONES**

*Law Enforcement Zones where the homicide occurred.*

Zone 1 B 2 (20% of cases)
Zone 2 B 0 (0% of cases)
Zone 3 B 2 (20% of cases)
Zone 4 B 1 (10% of cases)
Zone 5 B 3 (30% of cases)
Zone 6 B 1 (10% of cases)
Jacksonville Beach - 1

Total = 10
MAP ZIP CODES AND LAW ENFORCEMENT ZONES OF THE HOMICIDE LOCATION 2008
GRAPHS
2008 ONLY
PATTERNS (1997 - 2008)

(145 Months, Including December 1996)

128 Cases, 100 of these Intimate Cases (78%)
141 Murders, 109 of these Intimate Murders (77%)
29 Suicides (23%)

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate homicides (77% of cases)

! 74 males killed their female partners resulting in 83 murders (75% of intimate cases)
   - 1 also killed the wife's boyfriend
   - 1 also killed his grown daughter and son-in-law
   - 1 also killed his ex-girlfriend's minor daughter and current boyfriend
   - 1 also killed his father-in-law and brother-in-law
   - 2 also killed their ex-girlfriends
   - 1 also killed his ex-mother-in-law
   - 1 also killed his ex-girlfriend's father

! 24 females killed their male partners resulting in 24 murders (25% of intimate cases)
   - In one case the current boyfriend is also a suspect

! 2 males killed same sex partners (2% of intimate cases)

Total = 100 suspects and 109 murders

Non-Intimate homicides (23% of cases)

! 25 males killed other family members resulting in 28 murders (89% of non-intimate cases)
! 3 females killed other family members resulting in 3 murders (11% of non-intimate cases)

Total = 28 suspects and 32 murders

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides (23% of cases)

! 25 males committed suicide (86% of suicides)
! 4 females committed suicide (14% of suicides)
Total = 29

**RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

**Victims**
- 78 White (55% of victims)
- 57 Black (40% of victims)
- 4 Asian (3% of victims)
- 2 Hispanic (2% of victims)

Total = 141

**Suspects**
- 69 White (53% of suspects)
- 57 Black (44% of suspects)
- 3 Asian (2% of suspects)
- 1 Hispanic (<1% of suspects)

Total = 130

**RELATIONSHIP**

100 cases with 108 victims involved intimate relationships

In 66 cases (66% of intimate cases), the parties were cohabiting at the time of the homicide.
- 34 married and co-habiting (34% of intimate cases)
- 29 not married and co-habiting (29% of intimate cases)
- 3 divorced and co-habiting (3% of intimate cases)

In 34 cases (34% of intimate cases), the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
- 14 married and not co-habiting (14% of intimate cases)
- 19 not married and not co-habiting (19% of intimate cases)
- 1 divorced and not co-habiting (1% of intimate cases)

28 cases with 32 victims involved non-intimate relationships
- 13 males killed parents/step-parents/grandparents (46% of non-intimate cases)
  - 3 cases where son killed both parents
  - 3 cases where son killed mother
  - 2 cases where son killed father
  - 2 cases where step-son killed step-father
  - 3 cases where grandson killed grandparent, one also killed companion
- 6 males killed children/step-children (21% of non-intimate cases)
  - 1 case where step-father killed step-son
  - 1 case where step-father killed step-daughter
- 1 case where ex-boyfriend killed ex-girlfriend’s son
- 1 case where father killed infant son
- 2 cases where father killed adult son
  * 3 males killed their brothers (11% of non-intimate cases)
  * 2 cases where father killed adult son
  * 1 male killed sister-in-law (4% of non-intimate cases)
  * 1 female killed mother (4% of non-intimate cases)
  * 1 female killed her son (4% of non-intimate cases)
  * 1 female killed her brother (4% of non-intimate cases)
  * 1 male killed his ex-mother-in-law (4% of non-intimate cases)
  * 1 male killed his niece (4% of non-intimate cases)

**METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)**

- 79 gunshot wounds (56% of victims)
- 31 knife wounds (22% of victims)
- 13 strangulations (9% of victims)
- 12 blunt trauma (9% of victims)
- 6 other (4% of victims)
  * 1 thrown off bridge
  * 1 died of a heart attack during the crime
  * 1 rectal trauma
  * 1 bombing
  * 1 hit by car
  * 1 asphyxiation

Total = 141

**CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

- In 34 cases (27% of cases), the children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide. All were intimate cases.
- In 2 cases (2% of cases), the children were killed during an attack on an adult.
  * In one case victim’s 16 year old daughter was killed
  * In one case suspect killed infant son

**CRIMINAL HISTORY BDOMESTIC VIOLENCE**

*Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.*
Victims (19% of cases)
- 16 males had prior arrests for domestic violence (67% of victims)
- 8 females had prior arrests for domestic violence (33% of victims)

Suspects (27% of cases)
- 32 males had prior arrests for domestic violence (91% of suspects)
- 3 females had prior arrest(s) for domestic violence (9% of suspects)

INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with injunctions or other civil matters are included below.

Victims (9% of victims had an injunction at the time of the homicide)
- 12 females had injunctions in place
  - 2 reported violations
- 1 female had a prior injunction
- 1 female had temporary injunctions dismissed for failure to appear
- 1 female had injunction against husband's ex-girlfriend
- 1 female filed for injunction against suspect's ex-wife - denied
- 1 female filed against former boyfriend - but was denied
- 2 males were respondents of one injunction each (not by suspect)
- 1 male was respondent of two injunctions (not by suspect)
- 1 male had injunction against mother's ex-boyfriend (suspect)
- 2 females had one dissolution of marriage each (not to suspect)
- 2 females had one dissolution of marriage each to the suspect (one of them pending at the time of homicide)

Suspects (8% of suspects filed for or were respondents to injunctions at the time of the homicide)
- 1 female filed for injunction against male victim's son and girlfriend - both were denied
- 3 females had injunctions against the victim
- 1 female had injunction against an ex-boyfriend
- 5 males were respondents of an injunction
- 2 males were respondents of injunctions (not by victim); one was denied
- 1 male was respondent of multiple injunctions by multiple females.
  - This male also petitioned for an injunction multiple times, but was denied
- 1 male had a one dissolution of marriage (not to the victim)
- 2 males had one dissolution of marriage each to the victim (one was pending at the time of the homicide)

CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.

Victims (8% of victims)
- 7 females had referrals to the Department of Children and Families
- 4 males had referrals to the Department of Children and Families
Suspects (9% of suspects)
- 4 females had a referral to the Department of Children and Families
- 9 males had referrals to the Department of Children and Families

**SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

*Only victims and suspects that received services are included below.*

Victims (7% received some services)
- 3 females stayed briefly in a shelter years before homicide
- 5 females were provided court advocacy services (2 of these were sheltered above)
- 2 females received safety planning

Suspects (2% received some services)
- 1 female went through domestic violence education class
- 2 females received outreach services

**HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

- Of the 34 cases (26%) where children were actually present and/or witnessed the homicide, HARK referrals were made in two cases (1.5%).

**INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

*Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or counseling are included below.*

Victims (10% of victims)
- 9 males were ordered into batterers- intervention program as a result of domestic violence arrests.
  - 1 completed
  - 1 ordered twice, completed twice
  - 2 ordered twice, each completed once
- 2 males ordered to anger management as part of earlier domestic violence cases
- 1 male ordered to generic counseling for previous domestic battery
- 1 female was ordered and completed batterers- intervention program
- 1 female received marriage counseling

Suspects (17% of suspects)
- 12 males ordered into batterers- intervention program
  - 1 ordered twice and did not complete either time
  - 1 ordered twice, but completed once
- 1 male ordered to marriage counseling as part of injunction
- 9 males ordered to anger management
-1 on morning of the homicide

**ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

! Victims (16% of victims)
  - 14 males with substance abuse arrests
  - 9 females with substance abuse arrests

! Suspects (34% of suspects)
  - 38 males with substance abuse arrests
  - 5 females with substance abuse arrests

**MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.

! Victim (1% of victims)
  - 2 females with mental health issues

! Suspects (9% of suspects)
  - 9 males with mental health issues
  - 2 females with mental health issues

**ZIP CODES (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

Zip codes where the homicide occurred.

! 32073 - 1 (3 % of cases)
! 32205 - 4 (12 % of cases)
! 32206 - 2 (6 % of cases)
! 32207 - 2 (6 % of cases)
! 32208 - 3 (9% of cases)
! 32209 - 3 (9% of cases)
! 32210 - 3 (9 % of cases)
! 32211 - 2 (6% of cases)
! 32216 - 1 (3 % of cases)
! 32218 - 2 (6% of cases)
! 32219 - 2 (6 % of cases)
! 32220 - 1 (3 % of cases)
! 32221 - 1 (3 % of cases)
! 32225 - 2 (6% of cases)
! 32233 - 1 (3% of cases)
! 32244 - 1 ( 3 % of cases)
! 32246 - 1 (3% of cases)
LAW ENFORCEMENT ZONES (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Law Enforcement Zones where the homicide occurred.

- Zone 1 - 4 (11% of cases)
- Zone 2 - 5 (14% of cases)
- Zone 3 - 6 (17% of cases)
- Zone 4 - 10 (28% of cases)
- Zone 5 - 8 (22% of cases)
- Zone 6 - 2 (6% of cases)
- Jacksonville Beach - 1 (2% of cases)

Total = 36
GRAPHS
1997 THROUGH 2008
APPENDIX
(1997-2007)
APPENDIX A
1997 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides
! 2 males killed female partners
! 6 females killed male partners

Total = 8

Non-intimate Homicides
! 1 male killed his parents

Total = 1

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! Victims
* 4 White
* 6 Black

Total = 10

! Suspects
* 2 White
* 7 Black

Total = 9

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides
! In 5 cases, the parties were co-habit ing at the time of the homicide.
* 3 married and co-habiting
* 2 not married and co-habiting

! In 3 cases, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
* 3 not married and not co-habiting

Total = 8

Non-Intimate homicides
! 1 son killed parents

Total = 2
**METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)**

- 5 gunshot wounds
- 3 knife wounds
- 1 strangulation
- 1 died of heart attack during the crime

Total = 10

**CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

- In 3 cases, the children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

**CRIMINAL HISTORY/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.

- **Victims**
  - 1 male with 1 prior domestic violence arrest
  - 1 male with 2 prior domestic violence arrests

  Total = 2

- **Suspects**
  - 1 male with 1 prior domestic violence arrest

  Total = 1

**INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects with injunctions or other civil matters are included below.

- **Suspect**
  - 1 female had injunction against victim

**CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.

- **Victims**
1 male with 1 Department of Children and Families report of substance exposed child

Suspects

1 female with 1 Department of Children and Families report of substance exposed child
1 female with 1 Department of Children and Families report of abuse and neglect

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

There is no record of victims or suspects having received shelter services.

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

Victims

2 males were ordered to BIP, neither completed

ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

There were no suspects or victims with a history of substance abuse arrests.

MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

There were no suspects or victims with documented mental health issues.
APPENDIX B
1998 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 males killed female partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 also killed the female's current boyfriend</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male killed male partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 females killed female partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 10

Non-Intimate Homicides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 male killed father</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male killed stepdaughter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 female killed brother</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 3

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 males committed suicide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 female committed suicide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 5

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victims</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 White</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Black</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Asian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 White</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Black</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Asian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = 13

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In 6 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* 3 married and co-habiting
* 3 not married and co-habiting

! In 4 cases, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
* 1 not married and not co-habiting
* 3 married and not co-habiting

Total = 10

Non-Intimate homicides
! 1 son killed father
! 1 stepfather killed stepdaughter
! 1 sister killed brother

Total = 3

**METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)**

! 10 gunshot wounds
! 3 knife wounds
! 1 strangulation

Total = 14

**CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

! In 4 cases, the children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

**CRIMINAL HISTORY: DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

*Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.*

! **Victims**
  * 1 male with 3 domestic violence arrests
  * 1 male with 5 domestic violence arrests
  * 2 females with 1 domestic violence arrest

Total = 4

! **Suspects**
  * 1 male with 3 domestic violence arrests
  * 3 males with 1 domestic violence arrest
  * 1 female with 1 domestic violence arrest

Total = 5
INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with injunctions or other civil matters are included below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 females had injunctions against the suspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 3 were pending at the time of the homicide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 female with 2 Department of Children and Families reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 male with 1 Department of Children and Families report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects that received services are included below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 female received shelter and court advocacy services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 females received court advocacy services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 female attended Safe Choices twice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

In 1 case the children were referred to HARK.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 male ordered to generic counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male ordered to Anger Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 male ordered to marriage counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 males ordered to BIP, but neither completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
" 2 males ordered to Anger Management

**ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

! **Victims**
  * 1 male with 2 substance abuse arrests

! **Suspects**
  * 1 male with 3 substance abuse arrests
  * 1 female with 3 substance abuse arrests
  * 1 female with 7 substance abuse arrests

**MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.

! **Suspects**
  * 2 males with documented mental health issues
APPENDIX C
1999 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides

! 9 males killed female partners
! 3 females killed male partners
! 1 male killed male partner

Total = 13

Non-intimate Homicides

! 1 male killed brother
! 1 male killed son
! 1 male killed mother
! 1 male killed grandmother
! 1 female killed son

Total = 5

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides

! 1 female committed suicide
! 1 male committed suicide

Total = 2

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! Victims
  * 13 White
  * 4 Black
  * 1 Hispanic

Total = 18

! Suspects
  * 14 White
  * 4 Black

Total = 18

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)
Intimate homicides
! In 10 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.
  * 4 married and co-habiting
  * 6 not married and co-habiting
! In 3 cases, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
  * 2 married and not co-habiting
  * 1 not married and not cohabiting

Total = 13

Non-Intimate homicides
! 1 brother killed brother
! 1 stepfather killed stepson
! 1 son killed mother
! 1 grandson killed grandmother
! 1 female killed son

Total = 5

METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)

! 7 gunshot wounds
! 6 knife wounds
! 2 strangulation
! 2 blunt trauma
! 1 thrown off bridge

Total = 18

CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

! In 4 cases, the children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

CRIMINAL HISTORY/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

*Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.*
Victims
* 4 males with 1 domestic violence arrest
* 1 female with 1 domestic violence arrest

Suspects
* 4 males with 1 domestic violence arrest

INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with injunctions or other civil matters are included below.

Victims
* 1 female filed for injunction against suspect - dismissed for failure to appear
* 1 male was the respondent to an injunction against him by former wife

Suspects
* 1 male was the respondent to an injunction against him by former wife
* 1 male was the respondent to an injunction against him by his mother

CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

There is no record of victims or suspects with child abuse referrals.

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects that received services are included below.

Victims
* 1 female received shelter services years before the homicide

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

Victims
* 2 males ordered to Anger Control
Suspects
* 1 male ordered to Anger Control
* 2 males ordered to BIP, neither completed
* 1 male ordered to BIP twice, completed once

**ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**
Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

Victims
* 1 female with 1 substance abuse arrest
* 1 female with 2 substance abuse arrests
* 1 female with 4 substance abuse arrests
* 1 male with 4 substance abuse arrests
* 1 male with 12 substance abuse arrests
* 2 males with 1 substance abuse arrest

Suspects
* 4 males with 1 substance abuse arrest
* 1 male with 3 substance abuse arrests
* 2 males with 4 substance abuse arrests

**MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

There were no suspects or victims with documented mental health issues.
APPENDIX D
2000 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides
! 7 males killed female partners
* 1 also killed his ex-girlfriend
! 2 females killed male partners

Total = 9

Non-intimate Homicides
! 1 male killed parents

Total = 1

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! Victims
* 7 White
* 5 Black

Total = 12

! Suspects
* 7 White
* 3 Black

Total = 10

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate Homicides
! In 9 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.
* 5 married and co-habiting
* 4 not married and co-habiting

Total = 9
Non-Intimate Homicides
! 1 son killed parents

Total = 2

**METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)**

! 8 gunshot wounds
! 3 strangulation
! 1 rectal trauma

Total = 12

**CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

! There were no children that were present and/or witnessed the homicide.

**CRIMINAL HISTORY - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.

! Suspects
  * 1 male with 1 domestic violence arrest

**INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects with injunctions or other civil matters are included below.

! Victims
  * 1 female had injunction against her husband's ex-girlfriend

**CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

! There is no record of victims or suspects with child abuse referrals.
SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! There is no record of victims or suspects having received shelter services.

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

! There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! There is no record of victims or suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management, or received counseling.

ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

! Victims
" 1 female with 2 substance abuse arrests

! Suspects
" 2 males with 1 substance abuse arrest
" 1 male with 2 substance abuse arrests

MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! There were no suspects or victims with documented mental health issues.
APPENDIX E
2001 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides

! 7 males killed female partners
   * 1 also murdered his daughter-in-law and son-in-law
! 2 females killed male partners

Total = 9

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides

! 2 males committed suicide

Total = 2

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! Victims
   * 8 White
   * 3 Black

Total = 11

! Suspects
   * 4 White
   * 7 Black

Total = 11

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides

! In 7 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.
   * 4 married and co-habiting
   * 3 not married and not co-habiting
   * 1 divorced and co-habiting

Total = 7
In 1 case, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
* 1 married and not cohabiting

Total = 1

**METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)**

* 4 gunshot wounds
* 2 knife wounds
* 1 strangulation
* 2 blunt trauma
* 1 bombing
* 1 run over by a car

Total = 11

**CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

In 4 cases, the children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

**CRIMINAL HISTORY\DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

* Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.

* Suspects
  * 1 female with 1 domestic violence arrest
  * 2 males with 2 domestic violence arrests

**INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

* Only victims and suspects with injunctions and other civil matters are included below.

* Victims
  * 1 female had injunction against suspect

**CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

* Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.
Suspects
* 1 male with 2 Department of Children and Families reports

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)
Only victims and suspects that received services are included below.

Victims
* 1 female received shelter and court advocacy services

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)
In one case the children were referred to HARK.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)
Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

Suspects
* 1 male ordered to BIP, did not complete

ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)
Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

Victims
* 1 male with 3 substance abuse arrests
* 1 female with 1 substance abuse arrest

Suspects
* 1 male with 2 substance abuse arrests
* 1 male with 3 substance abuse arrests
* 1 males with 7 substance abuse arrests

MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)
There were no suspects or victims with documented mental health issues.
APPENDIX F
2002 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides
! 4 males killed female partners
   " 1 also killed the victim's 16 year old daughter

Total = 4

Non-intimate Homicides
! 1 male killed step-father

Total = 1

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! Victims
   " 6 White
   " 1 Black

Total = 7

! Suspects
   " 4 White
   " 1 Black

Total = 5

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides
! In 2 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.
   " 1 not married and co-habiting
   " 1 divorced but co-habiting

Total = 2

! In 1 case, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
   " 2 not married and not co-habiting

Total = 1
Non-intimate homicides
  1 stepson killed stepfather

  Total = 1

**METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)**

  6 gunshot wounds
  1 knife wound

  Total = 7

**CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

  In 1 case, the children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

**CRIMINAL HISTORY (DOMESTIC VIOLENCE) (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.

  Victims
    1 female with 2 domestic violence arrests

  Suspect
    1 male with 3 domestic violence arrests

**INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects with injunctions and other civil matters are included below.

  Victims
    1 female had one dissolution of marriage to suspect

  Suspects
    1 male had one dissolution of marriage to the victim (same case as above)
CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.

! Victims
  * 1 female with Department and Children Families report for neglect

! Suspects
  * 1 male with Department of Children and Families report for domestic violence
  * 1 male with Department of Children and Families report for neglect (same case as above)

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! There is no record of victims or suspects having received shelter services.

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

! There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

! Suspects
  * 1 male ordered to BIP, did not complete

ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

! Victims
  * 1 male with 10 substance abuse arrests

! Suspects
  * 1 male with 2 substance abuse arrests
  * 1 male with 5 substance abuse arrests
MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.

Victims
  * 1 female with mental health issues
APPENDIX G
2003 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides
! 7 males killed female partners
  " 1 male also killed his father-in-law and brother-in-law
!
! 3 females killed male partners

Total = 10

Non-intimate Homicides
! 1 male killed infant son

Total = 1

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides
! 1 female committed suicide
!
! 1 male committed suicide

Total = 2

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! Victims
  " 8 White
  " 5 Black

Total = 13

! Suspects
  " 6 White
  " 5 Black

Total = 11

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides
! In 7 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.
  " 4 married and co-habiting
  " 3 not married and co-habiting
In 3 cases, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
  " 1 married and not co-habiting
  " 2 not married and not co-habiting

Total = 10

Non-Intimate homicides
  ! 1 father killed son

Total = 1

**METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)**

! 8 gunshot wounds
! 1 knife wound
! 1 strangulation
! 3 blunt trauma

Total = 13

**CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

! In 3 cases, children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

**CRIMINAL HISTORY: DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

*Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.*

! **Victims**
  " 1 male with 1 domestic violence arrest

! **Suspects**
  " 1 female with 1 domestic violence arrest
  " 4 males with 1 domestic violence arrest
  " 1 male with 4 domestic violence arrests
INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with injunctions and other civil matters are included below.

! Victims
   " 2 females had injunctions against the suspect

! Suspects
   " 1 female had injunction against the victim

CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.

! Suspects
   " 1 male with 1 Department of Children and Families report of Family Violence Threatens Child.

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects that received services are included below.

! Victims
   " 1 female received safety planning services

! Suspects
   " 2 females received shelter services

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

! There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

! Suspects
   " 3 males ordered to BIP, none of them completed
   " 1 male ordered to BIP twice, did not complete either time
   -also ordered to Anger Control
**ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

* Victims
  * 1 female with 4 substance abuse arrests
  * 2 males with 2 substance abuse arrests

* Suspects
  * 3 males with 1 substance abuse arrest
  * 1 male with 2 substance abuse arrests

**MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.

* Suspects
  * 2 males with mental health issues
APPENDIX H
2004 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides
  1. 4 males killed female partners
  2. 1 female killed male partner

  Total = 5

Non-intimate Homicides
  1. 1 male killed adult son
  2. 1 male killed elderly mother
  3. 2 males killed a grandparent
     * 1 also killed the grandparent’s live-in companion

  Total = 4

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

  Victims
    * 7 White
    * 2 Black
    * 1 Asian

  Total = 10

  Suspects
    * 7 White
    * 1 Black
    * 1 Asian

  Total = 9

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides
  1. In 3 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.
     * 2 married and co-habiting
     * 1 not married and co-habiting

  2. In 2 cases, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
     * 1 married and not co-habiting
     * 1 not married and not co-habiting
Total = 5

Non-Intimate homicides

! 1 father killed son
! 1 son killed mother
! 2 grandsons each killed a grandparent
   -1 of these also killed grandparent-s companion

Total = 4

METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)

! 3 gunshot wounds
! 4 knife wounds
! 2 blunt trauma
! 1 asphyxiation

CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

! In 2 cases, children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

CRIMINAL HISTORY (DOMESTIC VIOLENCE) (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.

! Victims
   \* 1 male with 2 domestic violence arrests

! Suspects
   \* 1 male with 2 domestic violence arrests
   \* 2 males with 1 domestic violence arrests

INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with injunctions and other civil matters are included below.

! Victims
   " 2 females had injunctions against the suspect

! Suspects
   " 1 male reported to Adult Protective Services
CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

There is no record of victims or suspects with child abuse referrals.

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects that received services are included below.

Victims

1 female received safety planning services

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

Suspects

1 male ordered to Anger Management

ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

Victims

1 male with 5 substance abuse arrests

Suspects

1 female with 1 substance abuse arrest
1 male with 4 substance abuse arrests
1 male with 3 substance abuse arrests

MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.

Suspects

2 males with mental health issues
APPENDIX I
2005 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides
- 5 males killed female partners
- 1 female killed male partner

Total = 6

Non-Intimate Homicides
- 1 male killed father
- 1 male killed sister-in-law

Total = 2

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides
- 2 males committed suicide

Total = 2

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! Victims
  " 2 White
  " 6 Black

Total = 8

! Suspects
  " 2 White
  " 6 Black

Total = 8

RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides
- In 3 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.
  " 2 not married and co-habiting
  " 1 divorced and co-habiting

- In 3 cases, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
2 married and not co-habiting
" 1 not married and not co-habiting
Total = 6

Non-Intimate homicides
! 1 brother-in-law killed sister-in-law
! 1 son killed father
Total = 2

METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)

! 4 gunshot wounds
! 2 knife wounds
! 2 strangulation
Total = 8

CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

! In 4 cases, children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

CRIMINAL HISTORY
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.

! Victims
  * 1 female with 1 domestic violence arrest
  * 1 male with 2 domestic violence arrests
  * 1 male with 3 domestic violence arrests

! Suspects
  * 1 male with 1 domestic violence arrest

INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with injunctions and other civil matters are included below.
Victims
  - 1 female petitioned for injunction against the suspect
    - it was pending at the time of the homicide
  - 1 female had injunction against her former boyfriend
  - 1 female had injunction against the suspect
  - 1 female had pending dissolution of marriage to the suspect at the time of the homicide
  - 1 female had dissolution of marriage, not to suspect
  - 1 male was the respondent to 2 injunctions filed by 2 different prior girlfriends

Suspects
  - 1 male had dissolution of marriage, not to victim
  - 1 female had injunction against her former boyfriend

CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

There is no record of victims or suspects with child abuse referrals.

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

There is no record of victims or suspects having received shelter services.

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

Suspects
  * 1 male ordered to BIP, did not complete

ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

Victims
  * 1 male with 1 substance abuse arrest

Suspects
o 1 male with 1 substance abuse arrests
o 1 male with 3 substance abuse arrests
o 1 male with 5 substance abuse arrests

**MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

*Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.*

1. **Suspects**
   - 1 male with mental health issues
APPENDIX J
2006 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides
! 10 males killed female partners
! 1 female killed male partner

Total = 11

Non-intimate Homicides
! 1 male killed step-son
! 1 male killed brother
! 1 male killed mother
! 1 male killed step-father
! 1 female killed mother

Total = 16

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides
! 1 male committed suicide

Total = 1

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

! Victims
  * 5 White
  * 10 Black
  * 1 Hispanic

Total = 16

! Suspects
  * 5 White
  * 10 Black
  * 1 Hispanic

Total = 16
RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides

! In 7 cases, the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide
  * 5 married and co-habiting
  * 2 not married and co-habiting

! In 4 cases, the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide
  * 2 married and not co-habiting
  * 2 not married and not co-habiting

Total = 11

Non-Intimate homicides

! 1 step-father killed step-son
! 1 brother killed brother
! 1 son killed mother
! 1 step-son killed step-father
! 1 daughter killed mother

Total = 5

METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)

! 9 gunshot wounds
! 3 knife wounds
! 2 strangulation
! 2 blunt trauma

Total = 16

CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

! In 6 cases, children were present during and/or witnessed the homicide.

CRIMINAL HISTORY/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.

! Victims
  * 1 female with 2 domestic violence arrests
  * 1 male with 2 domestic violence arrests
Suspects
* 2 males with 1 domestic violence arrest
* 1 male with 4 domestic violence arrests
* 1 male with 6 domestic violence arrests
* 1 male with 12 domestic violence arrests

INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with injunctions and other civil matters are included below.

Victims
* 1 female had an injunction against suspect

Suspects
* 1 female filed for an injunction against the suspect but failed to appear
* 1 male had multiple injunctions granted against him by multiple females. This male also applied for injunctions multiple times, but they were not granted.

CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.

Victims
* 1 male with 2 Department of Children and Families reports of Family Violence Threatens Child.

Suspects
* 2 males with 1 Department of Children and Families report of Family Violence Threatens Child.
* 1 female with 2 Department of Children and Families reports of Substance Exposed Child.

SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects that received services are included below.

Victims
* 1 female received court advocacy services

HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.
**INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP, ordered to Anger Management or received counseling are included below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 female was ordered to BIP, did complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 female received marital counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male was ordered to BIP twice, completed twice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 male was ordered to BIP, did not complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male was ordered to BIP twice, completed once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male was ordered to Anger Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 female with 1 substance abuse arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male with 7 substance abuse arrests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 female with 1 substance abuse arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male with 1 substance abuse arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male with 2 substance abuse arrests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male with 4 substance abuse arrests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male with 5 substance abuse arrests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE**

Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 female with mental health issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 male with mental health issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX
2007 PATTERNS

GENDER (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Intimate Homicides (80% of cases, 73% of victims)
  7 males killed female partners
  1 female killed male partner

Total = 8

Non-Intimate Homicides (20% of cases, 27% of victims)
  1 male killed brother
  1 male killed mother and step-father

Total = 2

Above cases involving Homicide/Suicides (50% of cases)
  5 males committed suicide

Total = 5

RACE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Victims
  8 White (70% of cases, 73% of victims)
  2 Black (20% of cases, 18% of victims)
  1 Asian (10% of cases, 9% of victims)
  0 Hispanic (0% of cases, 0% of victims)

Total = 11

Suspects
  7 White (70% of cases, 70% of suspects)
  2 Black (20% of cases, 20% of suspects)
  1 Asian (10% of cases, 10% of suspects)
  0 Hispanic (0% of cases, 0% of victims)

Total = 10
RELATIONSHIP (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

Intimate homicides (80% of cases)
  ! In 4 cases (40% of intimate cases), the parties were co-habiting at the time of the homicide.
    * 2 married and co-habiting (20% of cases, 25% of intimate cases)
    * 2 not married and co-habiting (20% of cases, 25% of intimate cases)

  ! In 4 cases (40% of intimate cases), the parties were separated or divorced at the time of the homicide.
    * 2 married and not co-habiting (20% of cases, 25% of intimate cases)
    * 1 divorced and not co-habiting (10% of cases, 12.5% of intimate cases)
    * 1 not married and not co-habiting (10% of cases, 12.5% of intimate cases)

Total = 8

Non-Intimate homicides
  ! 1 brother killed brother (10% of cases, 50% of non-intimate cases)
  ! 1 son killed mother and step-father (10% of cases, 50% of non-intimate cases)

Total = 3

METHOD (BY NUMBER OF VICTIMS)

  ! 7 gunshot wounds
  ! 4 knife wounds

Total = 11

CHILDREN (BY NUMBER OF CASES)

  ! There were no children that were present and/or witnessed the homicide.

CRIMINAL HISTORY - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for domestic violence are included below.

  ! Victims (30% of cases, 27% of victims)
    * 1 female with 1 domestic violence arrest
    * 1 male with 2 domestic violence arrests
    * 1 male with 7 domestic violence arrests
Suspects (30% of cases, 30% of suspects)

- 2 males with 1 domestic violence arrest (one also had a simple battery arrest with the same victim)
- 1 male with 4 domestic violence arrests

Total = 3

INJUNCTIONS AND OTHER CIVIL MATTERS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with injunctions or other civil matters are included below.

Victims (40% of cases, 27% of victims)

- 1 female filed for injunction against suspect's ex-wife - it was denied. She had a dissolution of marriage, not to the suspect.
- 1 female had a dissolution of marriage to the suspect
- 1 male was the respondent to an injunction filed by his ex-wife - it was granted.

Suspects (30% of cases, 30% of suspects)

- 1 female filed for injunctions against the male victim's son and girlfriend - both were denied.
- 1 male was the respondent to an injunction filed against him by former wife - it was denied.
- 1 male had a dissolution of marriage to the victim

CHILD ABUSE REFERRALS (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)

Only victims and suspects with child abuse referrals are included below.

Child Abuse Referrals (40% of total cases)

Victims (30% of cases, 27% of victims)

- 1 female with 1 Department of Children and Families report of inadequate supervision by victim and suspect
- 1 male with 2 Department of Children and Families reports; one of Alcohol Exposed Child and Deadly Weapon injury and one of mental injury, inadequate supervision and hazardous conditions
- 1 female with 2 Department of Children and Families reports; Inadequate Supervision and Abuse

Suspects (20% of cases, 20% of suspects)

- 1 male with 1 Department of Children and Families report of Inadequate Supervision by victim and suspect - same case as first victim above
1 male with 1 Department of Children and Families report of Family Violence Threatens Child.

**SHELTER SERVICES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

- There is no record of victims or suspects having received shelter services.

**HARK (BY NUMBER OF CASES)**

- There is no record of children involved in these cases having received HARK services.

**INTERVENTION (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were ordered to complete BIP are included below.

- Victims (10% of cases, 9% of victims)
  - 1 male was ordered to Batterers' Intervention Program and completed 9 years earlier and was reordered but had not completed at the time of the homicide

- Suspects (10% of cases, 10% of suspects)
  - 1 male was ordered to Batterers' Intervention Program, but did not complete.

**ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects who were previously arrested for substance abuse are included below.

- Victims (20% of cases, 18% of victims)
  - 1 male with 5 substance abuse arrests
  - 1 male with 7 substance abuse arrests

- Suspects (40% of cases, 40% of suspects)
  - 1 male with 1 substance abuse arrest
  - 1 male with 3 substance abuse arrests
  - 2 males with 4 substance abuse arrests

**MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES (BY NUMBER OF PEOPLE)**

Only victims and suspects with prior documented mental health issues are included below.

- Suspects (10% of cases, 10% of suspects)
  - 1 male with documented mental health issues